

# **British SIS/ASIO planning a terrorist attack on Australia?**

Media Release Thursday, 25 September 2014

As things presently stand, a near-term terrorist attack upon Australian soil is almost guaranteed.

Why?

Is it because there are so many terrorists out there, whether home-grown or returning from Iraq, Syria, Afghanistan or elsewhere, such that at least “one lone wolf” is bound to “slip through”?

No!

It is because the British Crown and the City of London, which control the British and Australian intelligence services, intend for such an attack to occur.

And, right on cue, legislation now pending in the Australian parliament will grant virtual immunity to any Australian officials who orchestrate or participate in such an attack.

Think back.

The ever-escalating “war on terror”, in the main, dates from the 11 September 2001 attack on the World Trade Center and Pentagon.

That event was used to justify the invasion of Afghanistan in 2001 and then of Iraq in 2003, which in turn unleashed the spiral of mayhem throughout that region that has continued ever since.

Yet, a virtual avalanche of revelations since 9/11 (see below) demonstrate that the events of that day were orchestrated and financed by Saudi Arabia, with the connivance of dirty U.S. and British intelligence agencies.

But consider why was 9/11 launched in the first place?

And why is that same Saudi Arabia—the single largest financier of ISIS—now scheduled to serve as the headquarters to train a supposed “moderate” Arab/Islamic opposition to ISIS?

The evidence below will provide you the answers to those questions.

If you don't want yourself or your loved ones to be injured or killed in a terrorist attack or to live under a fascist police state, you had better read it, and act upon it.

The trans-Atlantic financial system is now plunging towards a far worse collapse than even that of 2008.

Therefore, the Crown and its City of London/Wall Street accomplices are driving for fascism and war—even a thermonuclear war against Russia and China—rather than see their imperial power evaporate along with the “too big to fail” (TBTF) banks, which are now 40 per cent larger than in 2008.

A new “9/11”, whether of the “lone wolf” variety within Australia, and/or on a 9/11 scale elsewhere, would be used to consolidate Australia as a fascist police state, and to steamroll any opposition to Australia’s full participation in the present Anglo-American drive for war.

## **The New Terror Laws**

Right now, the first of a raft of new terrorism laws is before Parliament.

Collectively, these laws will make permanent the existing fascist powers, rushed through in 2002-03 in the wake of 9/11, and add new, even more draconian powers.

The National Security Legislation Amendment Bill (No. 1) 2014, presented to Parliament in July, will give ASIO cover to commit virtually any act, by granting immunity from prosecution to officers of ASIO and its affiliates, engaged in “special intelligence operations” (SIO).

The term “ASIO affiliates” is a new, far-reaching category to be added to the ASIO Act 1979.

It may include private contractors and even foreign intelligence agencies.

The 2014 bill also carries jail terms for reporters who publicise even general information about an ASIO operation.

Future bills will empower ASIO to collect so-called metadata on any individual’s communications, as well as making it a crime, punishable by five years in prison, to travel to certain “declared areas” around the world without proof of a legitimate purpose.

On top of this, those of the powers enacted in 2002-03 that were due to expire will be extended permanently.

These include: ASIO’s power to detain in secret any person, including Australian citizens not suspected of terrorism, for questioning for a week, and jail them for refusing to answer; control orders that allow ASIO, without a trial, to regulate every aspect of a person’s life; and preventive detention orders by which ASIO can detain people in secret and without charge for 14 days, allowing them to phone only their employer and a family member, and then to say only that they will be “out of contact for the time being”.

Australia's ASIO and ASIS intelligence agencies were established as mere branch offices of the British Empire's Secret Intelligence Services (SIS), and remain so to this day.

Throughout its entire history ASIO's agents have referred to MI5 as "head office", while MI5 and its sister MI6 themselves report not to the British government, but solely to the British Crown.

Therefore, when MI5's Joint Terrorism Analysis Centre on 29 August raised the UK's terrorism threat alert to its second highest level ("Severe") just days before the NATO Wales summit with its warnings of impending war with Russia, ASIO promptly raised Australia's alert to "High", meaning that a terrorist attack is "likely".

Like MI5, ASIO could point to no specific threat to justify the new alert.

Nonetheless, the police began high-profile raids against suspected terrorists in Brisbane and Sydney, in the pre-arranged full glare of accompanying news media, seen in the 800-strong police operation in Sydney on 18 September.

After all the hoopla, only one 22-year-old suspect was charged with anything.

# **The British Empire runs** **Terrorism the case of** **Londonistan**

Throughout the long history of the British Empire, London has served as the headquarters of numerous terrorist or “liberation” movements, which were deployed against the Empire’s enemies abroad, or even against the Crown’s opponents within the UK itself.

That practice continues today, and is a major reason why the British Labour and Liberal Democratic parties are today demanding oversight powers over MI5.

From at least the late 1970s, when the Anglo-American imperialist faction sponsored the rise of fundamentalist jihadis in Afghanistan (the Mujahedin) to fight the Soviet Union, Britain has harboured the world’s worst Muslim terrorists, including Osama bin Laden himself during the 1990s.

Authors Sean O’Neill and Daniel McGrory reported in their 2006 book, *The Suicide Factory: Abu Hamza and the Finsbury Park Mosque*, that at one point terror suspects living in Britain were wanted “by over a dozen friendly governments.”

Among these, “Russia claims prominent Chechens who helped organise bombings on civilian targets in Moscow are sheltering in London.

The Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan, Israeli and Turkish authorities, together with half a dozen European allies and the US government, have all presented Whitehall with lists of suspects they want to put on trial, but all of them are still waiting.

The prominent French judge Jean-Louis Bruguière was so appalled by Britain’s attitude that he talked of ‘Londonistan’ as being the city of choice as a safe haven for Islamic terrorists, and a place ‘full of hatred’.

One particularly horrific pre-9/11 example was the so-called Islamic Group, which massacred 62 people, mostly tourists, in Luxor, Egypt in 1997, following smaller terror attacks earlier.

Islamic Group was headquartered in London, where its leaders, despite convictions for murder in Egypt, were granted asylum a furious Egyptian President Hosni Mubarak blasted the British authorities and security services for repeatedly refusing Egypt’s requests to extradite the leaders of Islamic Group.

Now the world watches in horror as a masked ISIS member with a British accent ritually beheads Western captives.

Are we expected to believe that the UK, with its vaunted security services and all-pervasive Government Communications Headquarters (GCHQ) intercepts, has no control over the hordes of terrorists within its borders, or those flooding from Britain to join ISIS?

Despite its already enormous powers, MI5's ASIO branch office is similarly letting terrorist recruitment and promotion happen in Australia, right under its nose. Recently, Australians were sickened by horrific images of two Australian jihadis, and the seven-year-old son of one of them, Khaled Sharrouf, holding aloft the severed heads of Syrian soldiers.

These images helped trigger the latest anti-terror laws, yet this same Khaled Sharrouf, described as of "poor intelligence", was well known to ASIO though previously arrested for terrorism offences, he was able to travel on his brother's passport from Australia to Syria; two months later, ASIO allowed his wife and his young sons to join him.

The authorities professed to be embarrassed that Sharrouf was able to flee, but he is only one of at least 200 Australians known to have gone to Syria, along with numerous American and British nationals.



Such jihadis serve a two-fold purpose they participate in the Anglo-American drive to overthrow Syrian President Bashar al-Assad, and then, fully trained, they are allowed to return home to commit terrorist acts in order to justify police-state measures in their countries of origin.

## **A case study: Hizb ut-Tahrir**

ASIO has allowed the notorious pro-terrorist group Hizb ut-Tahrir to operate freely in Australia, even though it is banned in almost all Arab countries including even Saudi Arabia, in Russia and all the former Soviet states, and in most European countries.

Alongside Australia, our “Five Eyes” intelligence partners Britain, the U.S., and Canada have failed to ban Hizb ut-Tahrir.

That organisation has long preached for a Muslim Caliphate, or single Islamic State, for which ISIS is now deployed in Iraq and Syria.

Under the pressure of public outrage following the London subway terrorist bombings in July 2005, British PM Tony Blair briefly talked about banning Hizb ut-Tahrir, but that idea was later quietly dropped.

Australia's then-Attorney-General Philip Ruddock followed the British lead and ordered an ASIO investigation into Hizb ut-Tahrir, only likewise to decide against banning the organisation, even though the 2002 laws authorise such a ban.

Even when NSW Premier Morris Iemma in 2007 requested that the federal government ban Hizb ut-Tahrir, the Attorney-General stuck fast with the British policy and refused.

In September 2012 the group held a noisy demonstration in Sydney, in which young children held signs, "Behead all those who insult the prophet".

It still operates freely today, indoctrinating young Muslims to go fight in Syria, and to join ISIS.

But the Anglo-American intelligence services are capable of much worse than merely turning a benevolent blind eye to terrorist attacks.

They are also capable of "false flag" assassinations or acts of terror, which are blamed upon someone else, based on planted "evidence".

One must ask why high-level British circles now warn that Russia intends to launch a "new 9/11", probably in Eastern Europe.

Really?

Who would benefit?